

Remarks

With the cancellation of claims 27 and 43-47, claims 24-26 and 28-42 are now pending in the above-referenced application.

Applicants have decided to incorporate the subject matter of allowable claim 27 into claim 24, and to rewrite allowable claims 29 and 30 in independent form. The only other issue remaining is thus the rejection of claims 26 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶2.

According to the Examiner, he is unclear in what sense the phrase "adapted to" is being used in these claims. The Examiner is reminded that claims are not to be evaluated in a vacuum, but in light of the specification. If the Examiner is unclear on what these claims mean, the solution is to consult the specification, since claims are to be evaluated in light of what the specification teaches. Since these claims have a sufficiently definite meaning when read in light of the specification, Applicant submits that this rejection should be withdrawn.

The present invention is new, non-obvious, and useful. Reconsideration and allowance of the presently pending claims are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Bo Zeng (B.No. 41,172)

Dated: 1/23/04

By: Richard L. Mayer
Richard L. Mayer
Reg. No. 22,490

KENYON & KENYON
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200